

Municipal Council Meeting
Tuesday, February 13, 2007
7:00PM Town Hall Auditorium

Call to Order 7:00PM

Pledge of Allegiance – Councilor Brennick

Roll Call: Hank Brennick, Ann Connolly King, Robert Lavoie, Alison Lindstrom, Donna McClure, Mario Pinierio, Michelle Thone, Roger Benson, Christopher Lawrence

Accept Minutes:

September 25, 2006 - Councilor Brennick motions to accept, Councilor Benson second – Unanimous
November 28, 2007, December 12, 2006 and January 9, 2007 – Councilor Benson motions to accept,
Councilor Pinierio second – Unanimous

2007-002 Joint meeting with the Housing Authority
Housing Authority Roll Call: Al Landry, Larry Quinn, Phil Dandaurant

Councilor Lavoie motions for Raymond Shockey to be appointed to the Housing Authority, Councilor Pinierio second - Roll Call Vote Unanimous

Housing Authority Adjourns

Councilor Benson motions for the Licenses and Permits to be taken out of order on the agenda,
President Lawrence agrees.

Licenses and Permits

2007-009 Auto Class II – Rt. 110 Getty d/b/a Trust Auto Sales, 39 Hillside Ave.
President Lawrence reads 2007-009 into the record.

Councilor Benson abstains from the vote.

Councilor Brennick moves to accept 2007-009, Councilor Pinierio second. Voted – 8 Yes, 1 Abstain
(Benson)

2007-010 Common Victualler – Daniel's Catering, Town Ways
President Lawrence reads 2007-010 into the record.

Daniel Bowden explains that he bought the truck and route which has been operating in town for 20
years.

Councilor Pinierio motions to accept 2007-010, Councilor Benson second – Voted Unanimous

Mayor Appointments

2007-013 Appoint Robert Martin to Cultural Council unexpired term to expire 6/30/08
President Lawrence reads 2007-013 into the record.

Mr. Robert Martin states that although he is not an artist he has always supported the arts and he felt
this would be a good opportunity to become involved with the community.

Councilor Pinierio motions to accept 2007-013, Councilor Benson second. – Voted Unanimous

2007-014 Appoint Mark Tanzella to Parks & Recreation Comm. Unexpired term to expire 6/30/2010.

President Lawrence reads 2007-013 into the record.

Mr. Mark Tanzella states he was involved in sports during his school years and understands the responsibilities of a Parks and Recreation commission.

Councilor Pinierio motions to accept 2007-014, Councilor Benson second – Voted Unanimous

2007-015 Appoint Helen Sullivan to Council on Aging unexpired term to expire 6/30/09
President Lawrence reads 2007-015 into the record.

Ms. Helen Sullivan states she has been volunteering for the past year at the Senior Center and previously was Director of the Council on Aging in Rowley for 13 years.

Councilor Lindstrom motions to accept 2007-015, Councilor McClure second. Voted – Unanimous

Public Comment

Dallas Haines, 16 Newton Rd. – Mr. Haines states the message behind the no confidence petition is that it represents quite a significant number of people frustrated by the majority of the council. It is their hope that it was not taken personally and that in 2007 everyone would try diligently to work together and do a better job with diplomacy and civility. They hope that in the future proposed bills are well thought out and when voting reflect the spirit of the public. Mr. Haines knows that the Mayor and the council will not get it right all the time but everyone can certainly try in diplomatic and professional manner.

Ken Turner, 37 Pleasant Rd. – Mr. Turner, speaking on behalf of the Committee to Recall Michelle Thone, states they believe Councilor Thone has failed to listen, respond and represent the wishes of District 1. They believe they are not being represented in a manner that shows consideration and respect for citizen input and they object with the manner in which Councilor Thone has interacted with her constituents and other elected officials. On February 12th they submitted to the Town Clerk a petition with more than 400 signatures. A significant majority of District 1 voters have determined that a recall is in the best interest of Amesbury's future. The Committee to Recall Michelle Thone assures District 1 and the entire Town of Amesbury that they remain firm in their commitment to conduct the entire recall process with professionalism and thanks all the petitioners who collected signatures and the Municipal Council for their time.

Jane Snow, Fern Ave. – Ms. Snow asks Councilor Lindstrom to let her know if she heard back from Mr. Basque regarding Margaret Rice Park and \$200,000. She is deeply saddened that a recall petition has been put forward in District 1. She has attended the council meetings and feels Michelle Thone comes very well prepared, has a lot of facts and asks hard questions.

Ann Marie Montello – Ms. Montello believes the previous council voted for every bill without thought of how it would be paid for with out any protest. She feels the infrastructure in town is crumbling because for decades they were neglected. The previous mistakes by the past administrations and Kopleman & Paige has cost the town millions of dollars. She feels the current council spends hours of time and energy going over material and asks tough questions. Ms. Montello feels the current outrage

is because people want business as usual. She believes the current council is looking out for the interests of the whole town and not the few that have had their way all along.

Annette Denietolis, 21 Orchard St. – Ms. Denietolis spoke against the recall petition and in support of Michelle Thone. She does not believe the reasons stated for the recall petition raises to the level for a recall and feels it should have been dismissed. She hopes the Charter Review Committee will look at the grounds for recall and address that issue. She hopes Councilor Thone does not step down and sees it thru to the end. She also advises her to hire an attorney.

Laurie Erwin, 6 Swetts Hill – Ms. Erwin does not support the recall petitions or the no confidence petitions. She feels if people do not have confidence with the elected officials they should come to the meetings or run for office and work with the process and not against it.

David McClure, Paige Farm Road – “First, I would like to thank Councilor Thone and the majority of this council for their diligence and hard work for the past twelve months or so. This is the first year since 1999 that I personally – that my tax bill did not increase exponentially, thank you. I would also like to make a statement about what I see is really going on with this recall and the number one motive behind it. This recall is not about Councilor Thone, it is about a small group of wealthy businessmen unhappy with paying a fairer share of taxes. These men were whipped into a frenzy on the steps of Town Hall last November by this administration with the help of the Alliance. This recall is about veto power plain and simple. I believe the business community with the blessing of this administration is trying to change the makeup of this council to regain control over every aspect of town governance. Spending transparency in our government, property rights, development and more to benefit the affluent few. If we the majority taxpayers allow this to happen, spending this town to oblivion will be a mild term for the actual repercussions and what this will actually cost us.”

High School Building update

Councilor Connolly King states the new contract has been signed and the first construction meeting was March 13th. She requests that Barbara Bode attend the next council meeting to give a comprehensive update of the project at that time.

Council Response to Public Comment

Councilor Pinierio responds to the no confidence petition saying that 400 signatures do not represent the majority of the people.

Councilor Thone responds to Annette Denietolis stating she does feel like she is tied to the whipping post but she must love pain. She has a copy of a letter being circulated in District 1 and based on some of the content she understands why people signed the petition. She feels the sad truth is, to get those signatures people had to lie. One issue is “it has been alleged she intends to cut the school budget to its foundation”. She fully supported the schools last year and that was acknowledged by several e-mails she received. She read the following e-mail. “Dear Ms. Thone my wife went to last night’s school budget meeting and she was very impressed with your actions. I am sure this year’s budget process has been difficult and stressful but it is obvious that you have done your homework and are dedicated to making the process as fair as possible. In particular that when some arbitrary and unsupported cuts were suggested you spoke up and made it clear that this was not acceptable. As parents of an Amesbury School student and as taxpayers we want to thank you for your hard work we appreciate it. Regards Scott Penoyer, 8 Cleveland St. Mr. Penoyer is Meryl Goldsmith’s husband; Meryl Goldsmith was my opponent in the last election. Are the allegations in the letter the truth, or is

this public record the truth. I do plan to get factual information out there that will raise the question of whose interest is being served by this recall. I assure you it is not the taxpayers. Over 500 people voted for me in the last election and it is very likely those people will have the chance to vote for me again. However, I will make a final decision on this matter once the signatures have been certified.

Police & Fire Communications

Mayor Kezer spoke about the current status of the Police and Fire budget. He states he communicated with President Lawrence his intent to talk with the Finance Committee on January 3rd to schedule a meeting in the coming weeks to discuss the police and fire budgets and formulate a strategy to give to the council. The Chairman of the Finance Committee informed Mike Basque they would refer this discussion to the February 13th council meeting.

Last June Mayor Kezer states he cut the Fire FY07 budget \$100,000 and the Police FY07 budget \$200,000. During the deliberation process on the Finance Committee another \$250,000 was cut from the Police budget. The Fire budget was also cut but not as significant as the Police however; there have been unanticipated costs in equipment maintenance.

The context he hopes to have this discussion in is the obligation of his office and the Municipal Council's to hold the bottom line, cut taxes and keep taxes down; one of the main obligations representing the taxpayers. He feels it is equally important to adequately deliver services to the citizens of Amesbury. His concern now is that he does not have the financial resources to adequately deliver public safety services. He had hoped to discuss at the Finance Committee what resources are needed financially and the impact if sufficient funding is not received to deliver the services of public safety. That would have allowed him to formulate an approach to be submitted to the council tonight. He states there have been comments about layoffs being the fact of life in the private sector however; not being in the private sector there are no other business that will deliver public safety services if there are layoffs. He has been looking at crime statistics over the last year such as assault and battery 92, larceny 98, theft from buildings 26, drug offenses 63, vandalism 94, liquor law violations 32 and burglaries 94. There are elements in the community that need to be addressed and if they are not we will lose our foothold and it will take more resources to regain. He is hoping the discussion is not just about controlling costs but also delivering the services and protecting the citizens. He has put together a financial plan for transferring funds in order to meet the ongoing operations of police and fire at a level to get through the end of the year. The cost of not funding the public safety needs is going to be higher if we don't transfer funds to get the job done than if we do.

Chief Cronin states the problem with the budget last year was a mathematical one. The appropriation for FY06 for personal services was \$2,877,903. The police contract required a 3% raise. The intent of the Finance Committee and the Council was to add the 3% raise to that bottom line appropriation. Before that happened two positions were backed out of the appropriation before the 3% was added. The result was a net increase over the \$2,877,903 became \$2,890,250 for FY07 or \$13,000 over. Obviously that does not cover the 3% raise that occurred because of that contract. There were other fixed costs in that contract that were missed including educational incentives, clothing allowance and increases in longevity. The total bottom line above what was appropriated was \$169,962. Currently there are 11 payrolls left and after this week it leaves approximately one million left in personal services. If you back out \$60,000 for holidays and some medical bills it leaves \$900,040 for 10 payrolls and payrolls typically run \$110,000. Chief Cronin states the problem with layoffs is that you incur additional overtime expenses to cover the shifts and the unemployment money since the town is self insured. In addition to that any vacation time accrued. As a result layoffs would not solve the

problem. At this time it would require 23 layoffs to meet the deficit and then 15 more to pay the vacation time. Chief Cronin states he brought the numbers forward before the final vote last year and has been bringing the numbers forward since that time. He has tried to bring the payroll in under \$104,000 but it just can not be done because of situations out of his control such as court costs and additional officers needed. In July at the start of the new budget Chief Cronin stopped all extra patrols such as the surveillances, downtown and the Millyard etc. to see how long they could get away with people not realizing they weren't there, it didn't take long. House breaks increases by 10 or 12, several incidents of drugs, young children in trouble and more. He gave the details to the Mayor in August, spent the next two months cleaning up the problems and it cost extra money. The bottom line is we are out \$170,000 in personal services however, I am requesting only \$140,000.

Councilor Pinierio asks how many officers he would lay off. Chief Cronin states he does not have enough people to lay off to make up the difference. To layoff someone first you need to notify that person, hold a civil service hearing, establish a reason then demonstrate the town didn't deliberately violate the labor contract. If you don't do all those things you will lose the civil service hearing and end up putting all the people back on the job, paying all the back pay and losing all the unemployment money paid out?

Councilor Thone asks the Chief if he knows what the additional cut from the Finance Committee was. Chief Cronin states he believes it was an additional \$125,000. Councilor Thone asks if he could work with \$140,000. Chief Cronin answers yes. Councilor Thone asks if he could have worked with the Mayor's budget, he answers no. Councilor Thone asks the Chief to clarify what he meant by "Safety standards" and who defines them. Chief Cronin states he is referring to the number of officers that are on duty at any particular time to cover shifts. The safety standards that Amesbury has are set by contract for minimum safety standards. Councilor Thone asks about a 1990's civil service case referenced by Chief Cronin. The Chief states it was a layoff attempt by the Town to save money in a similar situation. It was determined at a civil service hearing that the town did not prove there was a lack of funds to cover the positions. The officers were ordered reinstated; the town lost all the unemployment money they paid and was ordered to pay the officers their back pay. He reminds the council if they are looking at layoffs it has to be done according to state law and civil service law.

Councilor King asks Chief Cronin if the Amstat numbers go along with the contractual safety numbers. Chief Cronin explains it is called proportional scheduling through out the day based on in house and the typical number of calls and types of calls for service. Late night hours are typically busier than daytime, weekends are busier than week days. You don't take your people and divide them up equally. Councilor King reiterates that municipalities don't pay into unemployment because it would be cost prohibitive they only pay as a person is laid off and they pay the full unemployment benefit. Right now the maximum is \$575 per week and \$25 per child. If the decision was to lay off officers the town would have to pay their unemployment and vacation time. Ultimately it would cost the town more between now and the end of the year if you lay off officers than if you continue to run the department.

Councilor McClure asks Chief Cronin if the bottom line is, he can't run the police department with \$3.1 million dollars as it is staffed today. Chief Cronin answers, that's correct. Councilor McClure asks how much additional money in grants the Police Department has received. She states that the total cost of running the police department isn't really \$3.1 million because of the additional grants that have come through. Chief Cronin states that is not accurate. You have to be very careful how the grant money is spent. He states you can't take a grant for a specific purpose and put it into salaries because the

department is short. If you do you could be penalized and end up paying back more than what you received. Councilor McClure asks for a dollar amount of what was spent through grants. Chief Cronin responds Click it or Ticket is about \$12,000 and Community Policing grant can be used for any programs that have been operating in this fiscal year and that is about \$49,000 each year and about \$5,000 for communication personnel for suicide prevention.

Councilor Pinierio states that, \$7,800 was transferred into vehicle maintenance line item and asks where it came from. Chief Cronin does not know and states he believes they are typically done through the Accountant's Office.

Fire Chief William Shute states the Mayor cut his budget \$100,000 and the council cut an additional \$43,000. He states the fire vehicle repair costs have skyrocketed this year. In June of 2006 he re-evaluated the maintenance program and the personnel involved and working on that program; he found the money being spent wasn't really working. The apparatus is now getting older and is requiring more maintenance and higher costs. He put two people in the maintenance position, in cooperation with the union, and started a real maintenance program which revealed there are going to be some major problems. At the same time the ladder truck was having the front springs worked on they found that all four sides needed to be replaced at a cost of \$12,000. The vehicle maintenance was only budgeted for \$22,000. Another older fire truck was involved in an accident which after insurance cost about \$1,000. Later it was discovered that the truck has a bent frame and broken springs that needed to be repaired. He will be requesting funds to replace that vehicle this year. Engine 1 has a gap in the rear springs of about an inch. The ladder truck has a turn table support ring that has to be replaced which will cost \$13,000 which was already encumbered in the budget and brakes at an additional cost. The back up ambulance has been having problems also. The ladder truck alone for its repairs has out spent the whole line item for vehicle maintenance. As of today fire vehicle maintenance has spent \$55,000. The fire alarm backup system needs to be repaired at a cost of \$15,000. The cost of gear for the men has gone up. He also states that gasoline and diesel has gone up. The other item is to cover the costs associated with the new contract. Chief Shute states he has been doing everything in his power to reduce overtime. He is confident the new maintenance program will start showing dividends.

Councilor Thone says to Chief Shute that he does a great job managing his budget and asks how much he needs to cover his personnel budget. Chief Shute answers \$40 to \$50 thousand and \$75 thousand for the expense side. Councilor Thone asks for a breakdown of the fleet and the expenditures for the vehicle maintenance. She also asks the Chief if he has any concerns about using the ambulance funds for this entire problem, including the Police budget. He feels the ambulance receipts should fund all aspects of the ambulance service not the fire side, it is a dual service. Surplus funds in the ambulance account should be used to offset unexpected expenditures or towards a new ambulance.

Councilor King feels it is great that the ambulance fund is available to use for fire emergencies. However, she believes when the fund was established in 1992 it stated that in addition to the ambulance the funds could go to other emergency services; fire, police and emergency management. She does not want it to be perceived that just because the ambulance fund is there, the money is more available to accommodate the Fire Department needs as opposed to the police department because they do not have a back up fund to use and the funding has to come from other places. Chief Shute disagrees. He states the fund was set up just for the ambulance, it was never voted on

officially to give out to any other emergency service. Councilor King will bring in the documentation stating that it was.

Councilor Lindstrom states in the fire department study that was just completed, one of the short falls with the ambulance was collections and asks Chief Shute if he has looked into a new billing service. Chief Shute states they are looking into new billing services for better deals and better collection rates.

Mayor Kezer states he is working on a specific policy towards the use of the ambulance funds and is currently bidding out for collection services. In regards to the funds to cover the pay periods in the police and fire departments through the end of the fiscal year he asked the council if they would be willing to accept a late file for \$305,000 to transfer funds from both the ambulance fund and free cash. This could be the instrument for discussions and during the course of discussions adjustments could be made to the numbers as they go along. This could begin the process so it can come back to the council for a vote.

Recess 8:45 – 8:55PM

President Lawrence asks the Mayor to resubmit his late file to the Town Clerk's office for a time stamp and a bill number. He calls for a Municipal Council meeting for February 27, 2007 to accept the late file then adjourn the council meeting and go right into a workshop with the Finance Committee and Municipal Council and refer it to the Finance Committee for February 28, 2007.

Second Readings

2006-101 An Ordinance to Amend Rule #19 of Council Rules & Procedures – Councilor Lindstrom sponsor cont.

President Lawrence read 2006-101 into the record.

Councilor Lavoie read his report on #2006-101 dated December 12, 2006 (attached).

Councilor King asks for Councilor Lindstrom to speak about her bill and asks why it was put through as an ordinance. Councilor Lindstrom states it was an ordinance to ensure that the rules are being followed in town. Councilor King believes it does not need to be an ordinance to amend the rules and procedures; it should be a resolution to change rules and procedures. She questions that it belongs in council rules and procedures; the council does not have the authority to tell somebody under their own rules and procedures, to do something. Councilor Lavoie states she is right technically speaking but, if it is set up in the rules as part of the legislative enacting style, technically any measure that comes forward with out the language complying with the council rules the council does not have to accept the filing because it is not in compliance with the rules.

Councilor McClure reads rule 19, any measure passed by the Municipal Council, the affect of which is more than a temporary significance shall be entitled to ordinance. Anything that is going to stay and go on beyond this council has to be entitled to ordinance based on her interpretation.

Councilor Lavoie believes Councilor King was correct. When Ordinance got a hold of 2006-101 two things happened. A rule amendment was needed and 2006-101 was an attempt to amend the rule. Ordinance recommended that 2006-101 be treated as a proposed amendment to the council rules 19 by adding a paragraph. The same language was proposed as a late file and became 2006-128.

Councilor King brought up the wording because of a report by the DOR where the Town of Amesbury was cited for using the wrong terminology on bills. She asks Ordinance to bring it up for a discussion and she will provide the documentation from the DOR. You don't need an ordinance to amend the council rules and procedures. Councilor Lindstrom states that can be amended, it does not have to be an ordinance.

Councilor Thone believes the DOR was referring to passing bills with the wrong language not necessarily presenting them. Councilor King asks if it will change tonight. Councilor Lavoie feels that when it is filed you have to stick with the title to it. The report from Ordinance Committee is that rule 19 is amended. Councilor King believes it is the purview of the Ordinance Committee to look into the proper terminology as it leaves the committee.

Councilor Lavoie motions to adopt the recommendation of the Ordinance Committee on 2006-101 and amend Rule 19 as read. Councilor Pinierio second. 7 – Yes, 1 No (King), 1 Abstain (Benson)

Public Hearings

2007-004 – An Order to surplus Municipal Vehicles – Mayor Kezer sponsor cont.

President Lawrence read 2007-004 into the record and opens the public hearing.

Councilor Brennick read the recommendation of the Finance Committee to accept.

Councilor Brennick motioned to accept 2007-004 as presented, Councilor Benson second

Voted – Unanimous

2007-005 An Order to accept \$49,875 Community Policing Grant – Mayor Kezer sponsor cont.

President Lawrence read 2007-005 into the record.

Councilor Brennick read the recommendation of the Finance Committee to accept.

President Lawrence opened the public hearing.

Councilor Pinierio motions to accept 2007-005 as submitted, Councilor Benson second.

Roll Call Vote – Unanimous

2007-006 An Order to accept \$7,000 Traffic Enforcement Grant – Mayor Kezer sponsor cont.

President Lawrence read 2007-006 into the record.

Councilor Brennick read the recommendation from the Finance Committee to accept.

President Lawrence opened the public hearing.

Councilor Pinierio asks Councilor Brennick about the 20% in kind match and he answers it has to do with paper work that will be done while the officer is on duty.

Councilor Benson moved for approval of 2007-006 as submitted, Councilor Pinierio second.

Roll Call Vote – Unanimous

2007-117 An Order to adopt MGL c59, sec 5L – National Guardsmen and Reservists 180 day delay to pay taxes – Mayor Kezer sponsor cont.
President Lawrence reads 2007-117 into the record.

Councilor Brennick read the recommendation of the Finance Committee for approval.

President Lawrence opens the public hearing and closes it.

Councilor Benson moves for approval of 2007-117 as submitted, Councilor Pinierio second
Voted – Unanimous

2006-128 An Ordinance to Amend Article 7 of the Town of Amesbury Bylaws – Councilor Lindstrom sponsor cont.

President Lawrence reads 2006-128 into the record and opens the public hearing.

Councilor Lavoie read the recommendation of the Ordinance Committee to approve as submitted.

Pam Fenner, 8 Winkley Street - Ms. Fenner states that this is a procedure that the Town of Amesbury already follows and is a Mass General Law. She does not believe this is an ordinance that the ordinance committee, finance committee or the municipal council needs to bother with and would like to see it tabled or voted down.

Councilor King asks Councilor Lindstrom why she put forth this ordinance and what her concerns were. Councilor Lindstrom does not believe Amesbury always follows MGL. This would be one way that Amesbury would have a law that follows MGL. She does not want to see a projects come in under budget and then see them suddenly expand to use all the funds. This will ensure compliance that any monies appropriated for a specific project will be spent on that specific project for those specs. Councilor Kings asks for specific cases where Amesbury has violated the law in the past, Councilor Lindstrom states she read the IG report and does not have it in her head right now. Councilor King would like to know what the intent was for putting this ordinance through is. If the intent is that Councilor Lindstrom feels the community has violated MGL she would prefer it be attached to the ordinance.

Councilor Thone motions to accept 2006-128, Councilor Pinierio second
Roll Call Vote – 7 Yes, 2 No (King, Benson)

New Business

2007-011 A Resolution to Amend Municipal Council Rule 11A-1 – Councilor King sponsor

President Lawrence read 2007-011 into the record.

Councilor Lindstrom motions to refer 2007-011 to ordinance, Councilor Benson second
Voted – Unanimous

2007-012 A Resolution of Support – CDBG CDF 1 Grant Application – Councilor Lindstrom sponsor

President Lawrence read 2007-012 into the record.

Joseph Fahey states he is into a competitive round of funding and is asking for a vote of support from the municipal council to show that the town does support the project.

Councilor Benson moves for approval of 2007-012 as submitted, Councilor Lavoie second
Voted – Unanimous

2007-016 An Order to Accept Nancy Drive – Mayor Kezer sponsor

President Lawrence reads 2007-016 into the record.

Councilor Benson moves 2007-016 be referred to the ordinance committee, finance committee and planning board, Councilor Thone second.

Voted – Unanimous

2007-017 Appropriate from Free Cash \$75,400, General Permit for Stormwater Discharges from Small Municipal Storm Sewer Systems in Urban Areas – Mayor Kezer sponsor

President Lawrence read 2007-017 into the record.

Councilor Benson moved to refer 2007-017 to the finance committee, Councilor Lavoie second.

Voted – Unanimous

Correspondence:

Mass Motorcycle Association – Safety and Awareness Period

Councilor Benson moves to refer the correspondence to Public Safety Committee, Councilor Thone second – Voted Unanimous

President/Vice President Communications

President Lawrence passed out the committee assignment sheet.

Councilor King asks if there will be a discussion regarding the committee appointments. President Lawrence states that this is it and if Councilor King would like to file any changes or revisions to the committees she is welcomed to submit it as an ordinance or amendment to the council rules.

Councilor King states per the council rules that all committees will be evaluated annually at which time a decision will be made by the municipal council to continue, disband or change the entity. It is a decision of the council and she asks that time be scheduled at the end of next months meeting for the discussion. Councilor Lawrence asks Councilor King to put her concerns in writing.

President Lawrence motion to adjourn, Councilor McClure second.

Respectfully Submitted,
Assistant Town Clerk